

## 16.1: Vector Fields

A **vector field** is a function that assigns a vector to each point in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Such a function is defined by **component functions** with the typical notation:

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j} \quad \text{for } \mathbb{R}^2, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = P(x, y, z)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y, z)\mathbf{j} + R(x, y, z)\mathbf{k} \quad \text{for } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

1. **Notational Conventions:** When we are just discussing the function in general we may suppress the input variables and write  $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} + R\mathbf{k}$ .

We also sometimes prefer to identify a point  $(x, y, z)$  with its position vector  $\mathbf{x} = \langle x, y, z \rangle$  and write the function in the form  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = P(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{i} + Q(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{j} + R(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{k}$ .

We may also use the other forms for a vector interchangeably. That is, sometimes I will write,  $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = \langle P(x, y, z), Q(x, y, z), R(x, y, z) \rangle$ . All of these are equivalent, just a matter of convenience.

2. **Visualizing a Vector Field:** We can ‘plot’ a vector field by choosing various sample points  $(x, y)$ , evaluation the vector function  $\mathbf{F}(x, y)$  and drawing the vector from that point. With enough vectors plotted, we start to get a sense of the vector fields. If the functions are sufficiently complicated, we would need a computer to graph an accurate graph, but here are some ways we can get a sense of the vector field:

Assume we are wish to visualize a vector field  $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \langle P(x, y), Q(x, y) \rangle$

- (a) If it’s easy, find when  $P(x, y) = 0$ . Wherever  $P(x, y) = 0$ , the vector is vertical. You might also find when  $P(x, y) > 0$ , the vectors will point toward the right, and when  $P(x, y) < 0$ , the vectors will point toward the left.
- (b) If it’s easy, find when  $Q(x, y) = 0$ . Wherever  $Q(x, y) = 0$ , the vector is horizontal. You might also find when  $Q(x, y) > 0$ , the vectors will point upward, and when  $Q(x, y) < 0$ , the vectors will point downward.
- (c) Plot a few sample points. I’d say it’s smart to plot at least 6-8 spread out points to get a good idea of what is going on.
- (d) Look at the formula for the length of the vectors which is  $|\mathbf{F}| = \sqrt{P(x, y)^2 + Q(x, y)^2}$ . Can you tell if the vectors are longer/shorter as you move in a particular direction.

### 3. Particular Examples

- (a) **Velocity Fields:** Consider moving through a fluid (or air), at each point we could measure the velocity of the fluid and plot this velocity as a vector. This would be a velocity field,  $\mathbf{V}(x, y, z)$ .
- (b) **Force Fields:** Consider the force vector acting on a particle at the point  $(x, y, z)$ . If we consider all these force vectors, this is a force field,  $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z)$ . Here are some notable force fields from physics:
  - *Gravitational Fields:* Newton’s law of gravitation says that if  $M$  is the mass of an object centered at the origin,  $m$  is the mass of an object with location given by the position vector  $\mathbf{x} = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ , and  $G$  is the gravitational constant, then the magnitude of the force between the objects is

$$|\mathbf{F}| = \frac{mMG}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}.$$

And the direction of this force on the object of mass  $m$  is toward the origin, which would be the direction  $-\mathbf{x}$  (as a unit vector it would be  $-\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{x}$ ). Multiplying this direction by the length from Newton's law gives

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{mMG}{|\mathbf{x}|^3}\mathbf{x} = \left\langle \frac{-mMGx}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-mMGy}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-mMGz}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \right\rangle$$

That is the gravitational field.

- *Electrical Fields:* Similarly for electrical charges, if  $Q$  is a charge at the origin,  $q$  is another charge with location given by  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\epsilon$  is a particular constant, then by Coulomb's Law the force on the the charge  $q$  is given by

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\epsilon qQ}{|\mathbf{x}|^3}\mathbf{x}$$

For like charges we have repulsion with  $qQ > 0$ , and for opposite charges we have attraction with  $qQ < 0$ . Instead of considering the electrical force, physicists often consider the force per unit charge  $\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{q}\mathbf{F} = \frac{\epsilon Q}{|\mathbf{x}|^3}\mathbf{x}$  which is sometimes called the *electric field* of  $Q$ .

- *Gradient Fields:* If  $z = f(x, y)$  or  $w = f(x, y, z)$  are scalar functions, then their gradients define vectors at each point. So the gradient of a scalar function is a vector field. We say such a field is a *gradient field*. Any field that is a gradient field of some scalar function, that is  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ , is said to be a **conservative vector field** and we call  $f$  a *potential function* for  $\mathbf{F}$ ).

So in order for a vector field to be a gradient field, it must be the gradient of some scalar function. **No all vector fields are gradient fields!** In fact if you randomly selected functions  $P(x, y)$  and  $Q(x, y)$ , the chances of it being a scalar field are very small. However, many important vectors fields are gradient fields. And if we do have a gradient field, then there are many nice properties and simplifications we can use as will be discussed in 6.3 and 6.4 (and we will explain where the words *conservative* and *potential* are coming from).

Note: The gravitational field is a gradient field. One potential function is  $f(x, y, z) = \frac{mMG}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}}$ , because if you compute the gradient of this function you get

$$\nabla f = \left\langle \frac{-mMGx}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-mMGy}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-mMGz}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \right\rangle = \text{gravitational force field}$$

Similarly the electrical field is a gradient field. So we say these fields are conservative.

4. **Bonus Discussion about Flow Lines:** I think a natural question to ask is the following: If a particle is placed in a velocity/force field at a particular point, then what path will it follow. Let's explore what we can say here (I'll focus on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , but a similar discussion would follow in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ):

- (a) *Flow Lines for Velocity Fields:* Assume a velocity field is given  $\mathbf{V}(x, y) = \langle P(x, y), Q(x, y) \rangle$ . And we want to find the parametric equations for the path of a particle  $\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t) \rangle$ . Then we need the velocity vectors for the particle to be equal to the velocity vectors of the field, so we need:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = P(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = Q(x, y)$$

which means we have a system of differential equations to solve.

If we just want the equation for the path, then we can try to solve the differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy/dt}{dx/dt} = \frac{Q(x, y)}{P(x, y)}$$

Here are some examples (I encourage you to look at the vector fields and convince yourself that these paths are correct).

- If the velocity field is  $\mathbf{V}(x, y) = \langle -y, x \rangle$ , then the path of a particle would satisfy  $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{x}{-y}$ . This is separable, so we can solve to get  $-\frac{1}{2}y^2 = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + C$ , which can be simplified to  $x^2 + y^2 = K$  for some constant  $K$ . So in this force field particle follow the paths of circles.
  - If the velocity field is  $\mathbf{V}(x, y) = \langle x, -y \rangle$ , then the path of a particle would satisfy  $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{-y}{x}$ . This is separable, so we can solve to get  $y = \frac{K}{x}$  for some constant  $K$ . So in this force field particle follow the paths that look like  $y = \frac{1}{x}$ .
- (b) *Flow Lines for Force Fields:* Assume a force field is given  $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \langle P(x, y), Q(x, y) \rangle$ . And we want to find the parametric equations for the path of a particle  $\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t) \rangle$ . By Newton's second law,  $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = m\mathbf{a}(x, y)$ . So the acceleration of the particle at a given point is  $\mathbf{a}(x, y) = \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{F}(x, y)$ .

We need the acceleration vectors for the particle to be equal to the acceleration vectors from the field, so we need:

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{m}P(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{m}Q(x, y)$$

which means we have a system of second order differential equations to solve. This can get messy quick and even with simple examples we may want a computer to give us approximations for the path.

Here is one very simple example:

- Assume a particle of mass 2 kg is only acted on by the force of gravity so the force field (a 2D projection) is  $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \langle 0, -2(9.8) \rangle$  and acceleration is  $\mathbf{a}(x, y) = \langle 0, -9.8 \rangle$ . We need to solve the second order differential equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = -9.8$$

And you get  $x(t) = at + b$  and  $y = -4.9t^2 + ct + d$ , for some constants  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  (you should have seen these equations before).

In any case, you can find the flow lines in either situation by solving differential equations.